

ORGINAL ARTICLE

pii: S232245681800001-8 Received: 02 Dec 2017 Accepted: 22 Feb 2018

Performance and Microbiological Profiles of Piglets Fed with Diets Enriched with Bio-flavonoids and Ascorbic Acid

Magali Fernandes de Oliveira¹, Carlos Augusto Rigon Rossi¹*, Matheus Shardong Lucca¹, Marcelo Soares¹, Vladimir de Oliveira², Julianni Dornelles¹, Luara Medianeira de Lima Schlösser¹ and Cristian Guilherme Gräf²

¹Department of Large Animal Clinic, Federal University of Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

²Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

*Corresponding author`^s Email: carlos.rossi.mv@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the performance and microbiological profile of 40 piglets (females and males) in the nursery phase. The experimental design was completely randomized, with four treatments, five replicates and sex as a blocking factor. The treatments were distributed in: T1 (control); T2 (Plant Extract as PE, 500 ppm); T3 (Amoxicillin as A, 20 mg kg⁻¹) and T4 (PE+A, 500 ppm + 20 mg kg⁻¹). There was no influence (P>0.01), between treatments for both the initial and the final weight and average daily gain, but the control group males had an average daily feed intake of 1.8% or higher (P<0.01) compared to other treatments. The total count control bacterial colonies were 35.9%, 70.9 % and 63.8 % higher (P<0.01) to treatment with A, PE+A and PE, respectively. For MacConkey test, the treated group A was 88.44 %, 91.78 % and 56.50 % higher (P<0.01) compared to PE+A, PE and control, respectively. The antibiogram of 48 stool samples had shown that Amoxicillin disk were at 85.7 %, 72.7 %, 44.5 % and 100 % resistant in the control treatments, PE, A and PE+A respectively. The bioflavonoids and ascorbic acid and the interaction with amoxicillin did not alter the performance of pigs in the nursery phase but had reduced the presence of bacterial colonies.

Key words: Amoxicillin, Bacterial colonies, E. coli, Nursery, Plant extract

INTRODUCTION

The continuous overcoming of the technical difficulties is a challenge that marks the lives of modern pig farming. There were numerous contributions ranging from genetic improvement, the improvement of knowledge about nutrition and health, ambience, facilities and reproduction. Nevertheless, there are several challenges to be overcome in all sectors of pork production, because even with the modern technologies available, the piglets are still suffering from the enteropathies (Anami et al., 2008).

Diarrhea, and other diseases that affect the digestive tract of pigs have many factors and the major contributors involved are the *Clostridium perfringens* type A or type C, *Escherichia coli* enterotoxigenic, *Isospora suis, rotavirus* and transmissible gastroenteritis virus of pigs (Yaeger et al., 2007; Hur and Lee, 2012). In a brief consideration of the occurrence of diarrhea in pigs, which determines the importance of these episodes are factors such as the number of patients, the course of the disease, the degree of dehydration of the affected piglets, the specific mortality due to a problem, the repetition of episodes in different lots and the quantities and efficiency of drugs and vaccinations in course (Barcellos et al., 2011). Diarrhea occurs with clinical signs such as loss of solutes and water, electrolyte depletion, acid-base imbalance and dehydration, which can be fatal if not treated properly (Zlotowski et al., 2008). The treatments are difficult, facing high and often inefficient costs, but prevention and proper management are effective ways to reduce the incidences of diarrhea. The need to ensure the zootechnical and economic results of pig production encouraged the

routine incorporation of antibacterial (growth promoters) in feed intended for stages of the production process. Accordingly, new alternatives to ensure animal performance, quality of final product and reduce undesired waste to consumer health are being scientifically considered.

Research has been conducted with prebiotics, enzymes, organic acids and plant extracts (PE). Organic acids (OAs) have been widely used in pig diets, acting as a promising substitute for antibiotics and antibiotic growth promoters (AGP) (Lei et al., 2017; Long et al., 2018). Previous studies showed that both free and encapsulated organic acids supplementation improved the performance of weaned piglets and also intestinal morphology and health (Diao et al., 2016). The PE have been represented by phenolic compounds (flavonoids or bioflavonoids), and ascorbic acid. The bioflavonoids are natural antioxidants with anti-inflammatory action, anti-microbial, antiallergics and immune-stimulating (Cushnie & Lamb, 2005). Ascorbic acid takes part in several metabolic processes, such as the formation of collagen, synthesis of epinephrine, corticosteroids and bile steroids (Pion et al., 2004). Besides enzyme cofactor, ascorbic acid participates in the redox processes, enhancing iron absorption and inactivation of free radicals (Padayatty et al., 2003). The benefits of therapeutic use of ascorbic acid in pigs are observed in the performance, pre-slaughter stress and meat quality (Pion et al., 2004).

The individual properties and synergistic action of its active ingredients, the use of PE can enhance the immune response of piglets in nursery phase. Although there is positive information related to the synergy of the constituents of PE, its use in the control of clinical signs of diarrhea in piglets are weak and inconclusive. The objective of this work was to evaluate the performance and the microbiological profile of piglets in the nursery phase fed with diets containing bio-flavonoids and ascorbic acid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The animals were housed in Sector Swine Department of Animal Science UFSM, Brazil. The experiment was performed in the period 2 to 23 December 2016. The experimental units had an average weight of \pm 5.89 kg. The nursery shed had 48 raised bays at 0.40m from the ground, with leaked plastic floor with $2m^2$ of area per bay 48 raised bays. The stalls were equipped with semi- automatic feeders and drinker's pacifier type, with height adjustment. The shed had automatic drive curtains, a set of four exhaust fans and air conditioning.

The animals upon arrival were weighed, identified and distributed in the treatments. Forty animals housed were used in 40 stalls, 20 females and 20 males weaned, arranged in a completely randomized design with four treatments, five replicates and used sex as blocking factor.

Treatments

The treatments were as follows, respectively: T1 (control); T2 (PE, 500 ppm); T3 (Amoxicillin, 20 mg kg⁻¹) and T4 (PE+A, 500 ppm + 20 mg kg⁻¹). The PE consists of lactic acid (180 g kg⁻¹), vitamin C (5.200 g kg⁻¹), flavonoids (344 mg kg⁻¹), citric acid (400 g kg⁻¹), phosphoric acid (15 g kg⁻¹), fumaric acid (20 g kg⁻¹). The Amoxicillin (50 g) was used at a dose of 20 mg per kilogram of weight. The animals were subjected to constant clinical evaluations regarding the degree of hydration and diarrhea symptoms. The minimum and maximum temperature was recorded twice daily. The animals had consumed a commercial iso-nutritive diet, following the nutritional requirements of NRC (2012) (Table 1), the animals were fed at will and had ad libitum access to water. The weight gain data were obtained weekly and weights of individual animals. The daily feed intake was obtained by according to the weighing the ration provided, that were remaining as daily leftovers present in the feeders. Feed conversion was estimated from the previous variables.

Ethical approval

This work was approved by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals (CEUA) of the Federal University of Santa Maria (UFSM), Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

Microbiological profile

To evaluate the microbiological profile, animal feces were collected, identified and sent to the Bacteriology Laboratory (LABAC) of the Federal University of Santa Maria (UFSM) for counting bacterial colonies. The fecal samples were taken on days the 7th, 14th and 21st of the experiment. PCA was used to count colonies (Plate Count Agar) and MacConkey. Further biochemical tests were performed (oxidation and fermentation of sugars (GOF), Indol production (SIM), use of sugar (TSI) and urease) for the identification of *E. coli*. The colonies were stored in glycerol for subsequent culture and sensitivity of 48 preserved specimens. The samples passed through subculture in VBR and then taken to an oven for 24 hours at 37°C. After this period, with platinum loop, some colonies were added to Muller Hilton broth (MH) and thereafter on MH agar and added to the antibiotic disks to be routed to incubator for 24 hours at 37°C. After 24 hours the reading made with a transparent millimeter ruler to determine the diameter in mm for each inhibition

zone. The following discs were used for susceptibility testing: Polymyxin (30 ug), Cefepine (30 μ g), Amoxicillin (10 mg), Neomycin (30 μ g), Norfloxacin (10 mg), Ceftriaxone (30 μ g), Meropenem (10 mg) and Ampicillin (10 mg).

Ingredients	Pre-initial I*	Initial I*	
Crude Protein (g kg ⁻¹)	180	177.99	
Humidity $(g kg^{-1})$	120	120	
Formic Acid (mg kg ⁻¹)	325	-	
Folic Acid (mg kg $^{-1}$)	-	0.60	
Pantatenic Acid (mg kg ⁻¹)	-	13.65	
Biotin (mg kg ⁻¹)	-	75	
Cobalt (mg kg $^{-1}$)	-	19.95	
Lactic Acid (mg kg ⁻¹)	760	-	
Calcio Pantothenate (mg kg ⁻¹)	11	-	
Fruit Aroma (mg kg $^{-1}$)	30	-	
BHT (mg kg ⁻¹)	97	-	
Calcio (mg kg ⁻¹)	8,000	8	
Calcio (mg kg ⁻¹)	5,000	6.25	
Cuprum (mg kg ⁻¹)	8	0.6	
Colina (mg kg ⁻¹)	-	349	
Etoxiquin (mg kg ⁻¹)	-	25	
Ethereal extract (mg kg ⁻¹)	25	27.6	
Iron (mg kg ⁻¹)	79	112	
Gross fiber $(g kg^{-1})$	35	22.6	
Phosphorus mg kg ⁻¹)	3,500	5.4	
Halquinol (mg kg ⁻¹)	120	-	
Iodine (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.49	1.95	
Lisina (g kg ⁻¹)	12	6,175	
Manganes (mg kg ⁻¹)	30	62.25	
Mineral Material (g kg $^{-1}$)	60	33.38	
Metionina (mg kg ⁻¹)	5,000	3,394	
Niacina (mg kg^{-1})	18	33	
Selenio (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.25	0.3	
Sodio (mg kg ⁻¹)	1,800	0.24	
Treonina (mg kg ⁻¹)	1,800	-	
Triptofano (mg kg $^{-1}$)	790	-	
Vitamin A (UI kg ⁻¹)	6,400	15,900	
Vitamin B12 (mcg kg ⁻¹)	30	30	
Vitamin B1 (mg kg ⁻¹)	-	1.2	
Vitamin B2 (mg kg ⁻¹)	4	8.4	
Vitamin B6 (mg kg ⁻¹)	2	3.39	
Vitamin D3 (UI kg ⁻¹)	1,200	32,400	
Vitamin E (UI kg ⁻¹)	30	39	
Vitamin $K3 (mg kg^{-1})$	1	1.65	
Zinco (mg kg $^{-1}$)	2,500	2,250	

 Table 1. Proximate analysis of diets supplemented piglets in nursery phase diets containing bioflavonoids and ascorbic acid

Basic product composition: folic acid, nicotinic acid, calcium carbonate, sodium chloride, choline chloride, etoxiquin, transgenic soybean meal, ground corn, phytase, dicalcium phosphate, halquinol, potassium iodate, zinc oxide, calcium pantothenate, sodium selenite, cobalt sulfate, copper sulfate, iron sulfate, manganese sulfate, vitamin A, vitamin B1, B2, B6, B12, D3, E and K.

Data Analysis

The data were submitted to variance analysis by the GLM procedure in the 5% level of significance. The effects included in the analytical model were treatments (T) and week (S). Any differences between the means were compared by Tukey test (P<0.05). Statistical analyzes were performed using the statistical software Minitab version 15 (Mckenzie and Goldman, 2010). The antibiotic susceptibility data were assessed through sensitivity percentage, intermediate susceptibility and resistance to antibiotics.

RESULTS

Animal performance did not differ (P>0.01) between treatments for both the initial and the final weight and average daily gain. However, the control group males had an average daily feed intake of (CDMR) 1.8% higher (P<0.01) to other treatments and feed conversion (FC) 2.11% higher (P<0.01) compared to treatment with PE (Table 2). The total count of bacterial colonies in the control group were at 35.9%, 70.9% and 63.8% higher (P<0.01) to treatment with A, PE+A and PE, respectively. The count of small colonies in the control group was 72.3%, 75.99% and 75.35% higher (P<0.01) to treatment with A, PE+A and PE, respectively. The average colony count of treatment with A was 58.27%, 75.37% and

99.82% higher (P<0.01) to treatment with PE, PE+A and the control group, respectively. Regarding the large colonies there were no differences (P>0.01) between treatments. Therefore, in the count MacConkey way, the treated group A was 88.44%, 91.78% and 56.50% higher (P<0.01) to treatment with PE+A, PE and control, respectively (Table 3).

In assessing the susceptibility testing (percentage data), it was observed that antibiotics (Cefepine, CPM; Ceftriaxone, CRO and Merepenem, MPM) showed 100% sensitivity independent of the treatments. The polymyxin showed 100% resistance in the control treatments, PE, and PE+A, but 66.6% of resistance in the treatment A. The antibiotic susceptibility to the amoxicillin disc had showed 85.7%, 72.7%, 44.5% and 100% resistance in the control treatments, PEA and PE+A, respectively (Table 4). The disks with ampicillin and Norfloxacin showed 88.8% and 88.8% strength, respectively in treatment A. In summary, we can see that the antibiogram with Amoxicillin discs, Norfloxacin and Ampicillin showed higher percentage of resistance in dependent of treatments. Still, we can see that the antibiogram with neomycin discs showed 100% of intermediate sensibility in treatment with PE+A and0% resistance in the other treatments.

Т	Weight In		Weight Fi		ADFI		DWG		CF	
Treatments (T)	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F
Control	5.69	5.55	9.01	8.90	0.53 ^a	0.53	0.36	0.36	1.42 ^a	1.41
Plant Extract (PE)	5.78	6.61	9.16	9.98	0.52^{b}	0.52	0.36	0.36	1.39 ^b	1.39
Amoxicillin (A)	5.88	6.61	9.22	9.92	0.52^{b}	0.52	0.36	0.36	1.39 ^{ab}	1.41
PE+A	5.41	5.67	8.76	8.79	0.52^{b}	0.52	0.36	0.36	1.40^{ab}	1.41
RSD	0.56	0.54	0.89	0.83	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03
Probability										
T	0.87	0.91	0.23	0.17	0.01	0.08	0.85	0.94	0.01	0.54
R	0.01^{1}	0.01^{2}	0.69	0.70	0.01^{3}	0.07	0.11	0.54	0.01^{4}	0.21

Table 2. Performance of piglets in nursery phase fed diets containing bioflavonoids and ascorbic acid

Weight In= initial weight; Weight Fi= final weight; ADFI= average daily feed intake; DWG= daily weight gain; CF= conversion food; RSD = residual standard deviation; R= repeat; M= male; F= female; Regression equations for ¹Weight In males: (Weight In=4.65+0.59R) =; ²Weight In females: (Weight In=6.03+0.10R); ³ADFI males: (ADFI=0.52+0.0008R); ⁴CF machos: (CF=1.38+0.012R).

Table 3. Count of bacterial colonies of fecal content in initial phase of piglets nursery fed diets enriched with bioflavonoids and ascorbic acid

Tractionanta			Count (UFC mL ⁻¹)			
Treatments	Total Count	Small Colony	Medium Colony	Big Colony	mC	
Control	684797437.5 ^a	507353125 ^a	515000 ^c	24855375	24855375 ^b	
Plant Extract (PE)	247453000 ^c	125062500 ^b	121118125 ^b	1334875	4693963 ^b	
Amoxicillin (A)	438725000 ^b	140493750 ^b	290243750 ^a	250000	57148625 ^a	
PE+A	198959562.5 ^c	121786875 ^b	71472688 ^c	2668750	6604125 ^b	
RSD	179	129	142	288	239	
Probability						
Т	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.01	
W	0.01^{1}	0.01^{2}	0.01 ³	0.014	0.01 ⁵	

Atb= antibiotic; RSD=residual standard deviation; W=Week; mC= MacConkey Agar; Regression equation for week evaluation: ${}^{1}W=2.80 - 0.000000$ The total colony count; ${}^{2}W=2.84 - 0.023000$ Count small colonies; ${}^{3}W=2.44 + 0.031000$ Count medium colonies; ${}^{4}W=2.72 - 0.0420000$ Count large colonies; ${}^{5}W=2.62 - 0.063000$ mC.

Table 4. Antibiogram (sensitivity in %) of piglets from stool samples in nursery phase fed diets containing bioflavonoids
and ascorbic acid

Treatments	Sensitivity	Polym	СРМ	AMO	NEO	NOR	CRO	MPM	AMP
	S	100	100	14.3	85.7	100	100	100	14.3
Control	IS	0	0	0	14.3	0	0	0	0
	R	0	0	85.7	0	0	0	0	85.7
	S	100	100	27.3	54.5	36.4	100	100	27.3
Plant Extract (PE)	IS	0	0	0	45.5	9.1	0	0	0
	R	0	0	72.7	0	54.5	0	0	72.7
	S	66.6	100	33.3	55.5	11.2	100	100	11.2
Amoxicillin (A)	IS	22.2	0	22.2	44.5	0	0	0	0
	R	11.2	0	44.5	0	88.8	0	0	88.8
	S	100	100	0	0	0	100	100	33.3
PE+A	IS	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
	R	0	0	100	0	100	0	0	66.6

Polym= polymyxin; CPM= Cefepine; AMO= Amoxicillin; NEO= Neomycin; NOR= Norfloxacin; CRO= Ceftriaxone; MPM= Merepenem; AMP= Ampicillin; S= Sensitive; IS= Intermediate sensitivity; R= Resistant

To cite this paper: de Oliveira MF, Rigon Rossi CA, Shardong Lucca M, Soares M, de Oliveira V, Dornelles J, de Lima Schlösser LM and Guilherme Gräf C (2018). Performance and Microbiological Profiles of Piglets Fed with Diets Enriched with Bio-flavonoids and Ascorbic Acid. *World Vet J*, 8(1): 01-08; http://wvj.science-line.com

DISCUSSION

Currently, the nutritional aspects involving the plant extracts have been measured by the antimicrobial activity they have on the enzyme systems, cell structures and biological molecules. However, it is interesting to note that the biological effects of natural antioxidants are enhanced by interactions between the constituents of the formula (Middleton et al., 2000). For example, bioavailability and efficiency of vitamin C and bioflavonoids are lower than if they were administered alone (Navarro et al., 2008). Among the various actions, antioxidants protect the immune system. The bioflavonoids modulate inflammatory responses, such as inhibition of PGE2 inhibition of IgE and membrane myelin phagocytosis in multiple sclerosis process (Flórez, 2002). Currently, the acidifying is used in the diets of pigs in the early stages of growth, aiding in performance after weaning (Miguel, 2008).

In our study, we observed a higher average daily feed intake of males in the control group and consequently lower feed efficiency, compared to the other treatments. In another study, one of the constituents of the formula for PE, more specifically fumaric acid was used in the diet of piglets in post- weaning, it had improved animal performance, both the weight gains and feed conversion as well as increased feed intake (Teixeira et al., 2003). Already using 1.5 to 3.0 % citric acid in piglet's diet post weaning, showed no improvement in weight gain and feed efficiency (Radecki et al., 1988). Already, Xu et al. (2018) testing the combination of OAs and oils essentials didn't show a larger positive effect on intestinal health than when supplied individually, but it may increase the growth performance according to the complementary effects of OA and oils essentials in weaned piglets. Therefore, the responses of the performance characteristics and the apparent digestibility coefficients of nutrients, acidifying front of supplementation are variable and contradictory (Miguel, 2008).

Several hypotheses are suggested regarding the mechanism of action of acidifying and between the reduction in stomach pH, changes in intestinal microflora (by control bactericide) or bacteriostatic, improved digestibility and nutrient retention (Miguel, 2008). It is important to remember that the secretion of hydrochloric acid in young piglets is limited due to insufficient production of hydrochloric acid. This was observed in another study, which assessed liquid diets fermented or not, and stressed that reducing the pH favor the use of short-chain fatty acids (organic acids) and control of enterobacteria (Canibe et al., 2007). Accordingly, the use of acidifiers in the diets of weaning piglets in the post may serve as an adjuvant to control pH of the stomach and assist in the digestion of food grain-based and vegetable bran (Gallo et al., 2003). However, we note that the most consistent results are relative to antimicrobial power of acidifying.

This power, in most cases occurs when stomach pH has decreased. One of microbial control mechanisms refers to the capacity of that acidifying have to change the pH of the environment due to its potential dissociation (pKa) between the dissociated and non- dissociated (Partanen and Mroz, 1999). The absorption of organic acids takes place faster when the luminal pH value is smaller than pKa of the acids. The pKa of an acid is the pH at which 50% of the acid is in the ionized form, being determined by the negative logarithm of the acid ionization constant, or Ka, which in turn indicates the acid strength, so its tendency to donate protons. For be expressed logarithmically, one pH unit above the pKa of an acid indicates that 90% of the acid is in the non-dissociated form and with two pH units above the pKa, 99% of the acid will not be dissociated and pKa of the most acidic are between 3 and 5 (Bellaver and Scheuermann, 2004; Thompson and Hinton, 1997).

When the acid is in the ionized form can diffuse freely through the semipermeable membrane of the microorganism to its cellular cytoplasm and into the cell in a more alkaline environment releases the proton resulting in a decrease of the intracellular pH (Canibe et al., 2001). This aspect influences the microbial metabolism, inhibiting the release of important enzymes and forcing the bacterial cell to use energy to release protons, leading to intracellular accumulation of anions and consequently reduces their growth rate and this due to energy consumption through the action of pumping ATPase proton pump (H+) until exhaustion of this bacterium (Gauthier, 2005).

These events reported above may have happened in our study because the total count of bacterial colonies was more favorable to treatment with PE and PE+A. As an example, the total colony count of treatment with PE was 70.9% lower than the control group, which brings us the possibility of PE effectively present antimicrobial properties. In this sense, the result for *Mac Conkey* method way helps to differentiate presumptively, the genera and species of microorganisms by staining or colony morphology. In our work, we found that the group receiving PE, had decreased by 91.78% in colony as compared to the control group. Through these results and the biochemical tests carried out, we can estimate that these colonies are of the genus *E. coli*. is the microorganism present in samples of feces and part of the intestinal saprophytic flora. *E. coli* is facultative anaerobic bacterium rod morphology, gram negative, fermenting lactose and easily grows in culture media such as *Mac Conkey* agar, forming large red colonies (Gyles and Fairbrother, 2004). Under biochemical evaluation, it shows a positive reaction for indole, negative for urease production and hydrogen sulfide and does not use citrate as a carbon source. These tests sallow a distinction between Enterobacteriaceae (Debroy and Maddox, 2001).

Among the measures to reduce and control *E. coli* is antibiotic therapy (Glattleider, 1993). Sensitivity to antibiotics many work shaves been performed with varying results (Wilson, 1981; Brito et al., 2000). Because of the diversity of *E. coli* front antimicrobial behavior, especially by using sub doses of antibiotics and the easy transfer of resistance by plasmids from bacterial samples in our study was conducted antibiogram of feces samples from all treatments. We have observed that the treatments, actually did not influence the susceptibility testing results. The observed sensitivity can be the direct effect of antibiotic disks ad deed to the samples.

The antibiotics (Cefepine, Ceftriaxone, and Merepenem) were efficient in all samples. These antimicrobial agents are probably more efficient to inhibit microbial wall synthesis, resulting in bacterial death. This is possible because the Gram-negative cells such as *E. coli* have a much smaller amount of peptidoglycan than Gram positive. This makes its cell wall is not as thick and strong as the others above, but its structure is more complex due to the fact of the existence of membrane lipoproteins, polysaccharides and phospholipids, which involves its cell wall (Kinn et al., 2005).

To access the bacterial cell, antibiotics must cross through the cell wall porin protein channels embedded in lipid structure that present the inside with hydrophilic characteristics. So, antibiotics with greater activity against gram-negative are those with ionizable groups in its chemical structure (Guimarães et al., 2010).

Therefore, the characterization of an intestinal imbalance, diarrhea, commonly appears as an important sign of the complexity of the process. It is interesting to remember that bowel momentum is continuing, with immune responses of different intensities on substances or offensive and harmless agents. These tax challenges, often multifactorial actions that are caused by a plethora of causes, nutritional or dietary resources can be interesting to accelerate the recovery of any damage to the digestive system. To this end, further studies are needed to evaluate the optimal levels of inclusion and better combinations of plant extracts, given the possibility of improving the immune response of piglets in nursery phase.

CONCLUSION

The use of bioflavonoids and ascorbic acid did not alter the performance of pigs in the nursery phase. The use of plant extracts and associated with Amoxicillin reduces the count of bacterial colonies. It was observed that the high resistance of the studied samples for amoxicillin, neomycin and Norfloxacin. The antimicrobial Cefepine, Ceftriaxone and Merepenem were more efficient in inhibiting the growth of E. coli strains isolated from pigs supplemented with ascorbic acid and bioflavonoid.

DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the LABAC to the aid provided and application of tests, the Department of Animal Science for granting facilities to run the experiment, the Quinabra Chemical Brazilian Natural Ltda, the partial funding of the project and the Post Graduate Programming Veterinary Medicine (UFSM), for their supports in the implementation of work.

Author's contribution

The authors, Magali Fernandes de Oliveira, Carlos Augusto Rigon Rossi, Matheus Shardong Lucca, Marcelo Soares, were responsible for collection and tabulation of data, experimental management of the pigs, as well as the article writing. The authors, Carlos Augusto Rigon Rossi, Vladimir de Oliveira, Julianni Dornelles, Luara Medianeira de Lima Schlösserand Cristian Guilherme Gräf were responsible for reviewing the manuscript.

Consent to publish

The authors, Magali Fernandes de Oliveira, Carlos Augusto Rigon Rossi, Matheus Shardong Lucca, Marcelo Soares, Vladimir de Oliveira, Julianni Dornelles, Luara Medianeira de Lima Schlösserand Cristian Guilherme Gräf are in favor of publishing the article entitled: "Performance and Microbiological Profiles of Piglets Fed with Diets Enriched with Bio-flavonoids and Ascorbic Acid" in the World^{'s} Veterinary Journal.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that there is no competing interest exists.

REFERENCES

Anami RM, Santos JMG and Ferreira SR (2008). Desenvolvimento e avaliação de uma bacterina contra colibacilose em suínos. Iniciação Científica Cesumar. 10 (2). http://periodicos.unicesumar.edu.br/index.php/iccesumar/article/view/831/644

- Barcellos DE, Sato JPH and Andrade MR (2011). Diarreias nutricionais dos suínos: uma visão do veterinário clínico.In:VI SINSUI -Simpósio Internacional de Suinocultura, Porto Alegre. Anais.23-34. http://eventos.livera.com.br/conteudo/arquivo/anais-visinsui-2011-1482167492.pdf
- Bellaver C and Scheuermann G (2004). Aplicações dos ácidos orgânicos na produção de aves de corte. In: CONFERÊNCIA AVESUI, Florianópolis, SC. Anais. 1-16. http://www.cnpsa.embrapa.br/sgc/sgc_publicacoes/publicacao_h6n45p3z.pdf
- Brito BG and Tagliari KC (2000). Sensibilidade antimicrobiana de amostras de *E. coli* isoladas de leitões com diarreia após o desmame. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, Curitiba, 43: (1): 1-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132000000100017
- Canibe N, Hojberg O, Badsberg JH and Jensen BB (2007). Effect of feeding fermented liquid feed ande fermented grain on gastrointestinal ecology ande growth performance in piglets. Journal of Animal Science, 85: 2959-2971. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17591711
- Cushnie TP and Lamb AJ (2005). Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 26: 343-356. DOI: doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag. 2005.09.002
- DebRoy C and Maddox CW (2007). Identification of virulence attributes of gastrointestinal *Escherichia coli* isolates of Veterinary significance. Animal Health Research Reviews, 1: (2):129-140. DOI: 10.1079/AHRR200131.
- Diao H, Gao Z, Yu B, Zheng P, He J, Yu J, Huang Z, Chen D and Mao X (2016). Effects of benzoic acid (VevoVitall[®]) on the performance and jejunal digestive physiology in young pigs. Journal Animal Science Biotechnology, 2: 1-7. DOI: 10.1186/s40104-016-0091-y
- Flórez SM (2002). Los flavonoides: propiedades y acciones antioxidantes. Nutrición Hospitalaria, Ciudad Industrial Venecia, Madrid, Espanha, 6: 271-278. http://www.nutricionhospitalaria.com/pdf/3338.pdf
- Gallo BL, Viola E, and Conde, OR de A (2003). Uso do composto de ácidos orgânicos Profitol em dietas de leitões pré e pós desmame. Salão de Iniciação Científica, Porto Alegre, RS. 24-28. http://www.lume.ufrgs.br/bitstream/handle/10183/39404/000394202.pdf?sequence=1
- Gauthier R (2005). Modo de ação dos acidificantes e interesse que geram na fase de crescimento e terminação. Revista Pork World, 5: (28):52-58.
- Glattleider DL (1993). Pathologie digestive du porc en croissance et alimentation. Recueil de Medecine Veterinaire. 169: (8/9), 719-32.
- Guimarães DO, Momesso L da S and Puppo MT (2010). Antibióticos: importância terapêutica e perspectivas para a descoberta e desenvolvimento de novos agentes. Química Nova, 33: (3), 667-679. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422010000300035.
- Gyles CL, Fairbrother JM (2004). *Escherichia coli*. In: Gyles, CL, Prescott, JF, Songer, JG, Thoen, CO. Pathogenesis in Bacterial Infections in Animals. Ames: Blackwell Publishing. p 223.
- Hur J and Lee JH (2012). Development of a novel live vaccine delivering enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* fimbrial antigens to prevent post-weaning diarrhea in piglets. Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology, 146: 283-288.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22417986
- Lei XJ, Park JW, Baek DH, Kim JK and Kim IH (2017). Feeding the blend of organic acids and medium chain fatty acids reduces the diarrhea in piglets orally challenged with enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* K88. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 224: 46-51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.11.016
- Long SF, Xu YT, Pan L, Wang QQ, Wang CL, Wu JY, Wu YY, Han YM, Yun CH and Piao XS (2018). Mixed organic acids as antibiotic substitutes improve performance, serum immunity, intestinal morphology and microbiota for weaned piglets. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 235: 23-32. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2017.08.018
- Menin A, Reck C, Souza Daiane DE, Klein C and Vaz E (2008). Agentes bacterianos enteropatogênicos em suínos de diferentes faixas etárias e perfil de resistência a antibicrobianos de cepas de *Escherichia coli* e Salmonella sp. Ciência Rural. 38: (6), 1686-1693. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782008000600030.
- Middleton E, Kandaswami C and Theoharides TC (2000). The effects of plant flavonoids on mammalian cells: implications for inflammation, heart disease, and cancer. Pharmacological Reviews, Bethesda, E.U.A., 4: 673-751. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11121513
- MiguelWC(2008). Suplementação de acidificantes em rações de leitões desmamados: desempenho e digestibilidade.54 f. Dissertação de Mestrado em Nutrição e Produção animal, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade de São Paulo, Pirassununga, SP. 2004.
- Minitab (2010). User's guide: Meet Minitab, 16: pp. 1-142.
- Navarro M, Granizo J and Sebastian M (2008). Publicación para veterinarios y técnicos del sector de animales de producción extractos vegetales como fuente de antioxidantes naturales en la alimentación animal. Albéitar - Foroempresas:Probena, S.L., Zaragoza, Espanha. 116:64-65.
- Nutrient Requirements Of Swine (2012): Eleventh Revised Edition: Models for Estimating Nutrient Requirements of Pigs Case studies. http://dels.nas.edu/resources/static-assets/banr/swine-resources/case-studies-pdf.pdf
- Padayatty SJ, Katz A, Wang Y, Eck P, Kwon O, Lee JH, Chen S, Corpe C, Dutta A, Dutta SK and Levine M (2003). Vitamin C as an Antioxidant: evaluation of its role in disease prevention. Journal of the American College of Nutrition, 22: (1):18-35. DOI:10.1080/07315724.2003.10719272.
- Partanen KH and Mroz Z 1999. Organicacids for performance enhancement in pig diets. Nutrition research reviews, 12: (1):117-145. DOI:10.1079/095442299108728884.

- Pion SJ, Van Heugten E, See MT, Larick DK and Pardue S (2004). Effects of vitamin C supplementation on plasma ascorbic acid and oxalate concentrations and meat quality in swine. Journal of Animal Science,82: 2004-2012.https://www.animalsciencepublications.org/.../jas/pdfs/.../0822004
- RadeckiSV,JuhlMR and Miller ER(1988). Fumaric and citric acids feed additives in starter pig diets: effect on performance and nutrient balance. Journal of animal Science,66: 2598-2605. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3198539
- Teixeira MP, Silva GF, Lopes DC, Corassa A, Teixeira AO, Bunzen S, Pena SM, Gatas G and Costa LF (2003). Avaliação de ácidos orgânicos e inorgânicos em dietas para leitões desmamados aos 21 dias de idade. Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia, Santa Maria, RS. CD ROM.
- Thompson JL and Hilton M (1997). Antibacterial activity of formic and propionic acids in the diet of hens on salmonella in the crop. British Poultry Science. 38: (1), 59-65. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9088614
- Wilson MR (1981). Enteric Colibacillosis. In: Leman, A.D. et al. Diseases of Swine. 5. ed. State University Press. Iowa. pp. 477.
- Yaeger MJ, Kinyon JM and Songer JGA (2007). Prospective, case control study evaluating the association between *Clostridium Difficile* toxins in the colon of neonatal swine and gross and microscopic lesions. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, 1: 52-59. DOI: 10.1177/104063870701900108.
- Xu YT, Liu Li, Long SF, Pan L and Piao XS (2018). Effect of organic acids and essential oils on performance, intestinal health and digestive enzyme activities of weaned pigs. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 235: 110-119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2017.10.012
- Zlotowski P, Driemeier D and Barcellos DESN (2008). Patogenia das diarréias dos suínos: modelos e exemplos. Acta Scientiae Veterinariae,36: 81-86. http://www.ufrgs.br/actavet/36-suple-1/11_patogenia.pdf