

Circular Economy for Sustainable Construction Material Management

Ali Akbar Firoozi¹  and Ali Asghar Firoozi² 

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Botswana, Gaborone, Private Bag: UB0061, Botswana

²Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, National University of Malaysia (UKM), Selangor, Malaysia

[✉]Corresponding author's Email: a.firoozi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The construction industry is a major contributor to global resource consumption and environmental degradation, emphasizing the need for sustainable material management. This study explores the integration of circular economy (CE) principles into the construction sector to enhance resource efficiency, reduce waste, and promote the reuse and recycling of materials. We review current practices identify barriers to CE adoption, and propose innovative strategies such as modular design, material passports, and digital platforms for material tracking and exchange. The environmental, economic, and social benefits of adopting these principles are examined through detailed case studies of pioneering projects that showcase significant reductions in environmental impact, operational costs, and enhanced social value. The paper highlights the potential of CE to transform the construction industry towards sustainable practices that align with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) on responsible consumption and production, and sustainable cities and communities. The study concludes by discussing the challenges and opportunities in mainstreaming circular economy practices within the industry, urging a collaborative approach among stakeholders for successful implementation.

Keywords: Circular Economy, Construction Materials, Sustainable Development, Waste Reduction, Resource Efficiency, Civil Engineering.

INTRODUCTION

The construction sector is one of the most significant contributors to global resource consumption and waste production, profoundly impacting environmental sustainability. This industry accounts for approximately 36% of worldwide energy usage and nearly 40% of CO₂ emissions (Blumberga et al., 2020). Traditional construction processes are characterized by linear material use models—extract, use, discard—which necessitate a paradigm shift towards more sustainable practices. The urgency for this shift is heightened by increasing regulatory pressures and a growing societal demand for environmentally responsible practices (Negash et al., 2017).

In response to these challenges, the concept of a circular economy (CE) offers a transformative approach aimed at decoupling economic growth from resource consumption and environmental degradation. The CE model promotes a restorative and regenerative industrial system, where material usage is optimized, and the lifecycle of resources is extended through reuse, recycling, and recovery (Oliveira et al., 2021). Implementing circular economy principles within the construction industry

presents a promising pathway to enhance resource efficiency, reduce waste, and mitigate environmental impacts.

The objective of this study is to explore the integration of circular economy principles into construction material management. This involves analyzing current material management practices, identifying barriers to the adoption of CE principles, and proposing innovative strategies that can facilitate this integration. By doing so, the study aims to provide actionable insights that could lead the construction industry towards more sustainable and resilient practices, ultimately contributing to the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) related to responsible consumption and production, and sustainable cities and communities.

In exploring these themes, this paper will draw upon existing literature, case studies, and examples of best practices from pioneering firms and projects that have successfully incorporated CE principles. Through this comprehensive approach, the study seeks to underscore the potential and strategic importance of adopting circular economy frameworks within the construction industry,

RESEARCH
 PII: S225204302200010-12
 Received: September 19, 2022
 Revised: November 12, 2022
 Accepted: November 15, 2022

paving the way for significant environmental, economic, and social benefits.

Literature review

The integration of circular economy (CE) principles into the construction industry represents a transformative opportunity to shift traditional practices toward a more sustainable paradigm. This literature review critically examines the current state of construction practices, the core concepts of the circular economy, and the barriers that hinder the adoption of these practices within the industry. By exploring a wide array of scholarly articles, industry reports, and case studies, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of where the construction industry stands concerning CE principles and what challenges it must overcome to transition towards circularity. The insights garnered here will serve as a foundation for recommending innovative strategies that align construction practices with sustainable development goals. This approach not only supports the theoretical framework of this study but also identifies gaps in current research that future studies might address, fostering a deeper understanding of the circular economy's potential impact on the construction sector.

Current practices in construction

The traditional construction industry predominantly operates on a linear "take-make-dispose" model, which involves significant material consumption and generates substantial waste. It is estimated that construction and demolition activities contribute to 30-40% of global waste generation, exerting enormous pressure on landfill capacities and causing significant environmental degradation (Oluleye et al., 2022). The prevailing material management practices within the industry primarily focus on short-term cost-effectiveness, often overlooking the long-term environmental impacts and potential resource scarcities (Tezel et al., 2020).

Efforts to incorporate sustainability into construction practices have been increasing; however, they primarily focus on energy efficiency and reducing emissions during the operational phase of buildings. Material reuse and recycling are often considered only at the end of a building's lifecycle, leading to missed opportunities for integrating circular principles during the initial phases of construction projects.

Table 1 provides a comparison of waste generation between traditional and circular construction practices. The percentages represent the proportion of each waste type produced as a part of total construction waste. Circular construction practices show a significant reduction in waste generation across all categories, emphasizing the effectiveness of these methods in minimizing waste output, as analyzed in studies such as Lu and Yuan (2021). The 'Potential Reduction' column calculates the percentage decrease achievable by adopting circular economy principles in construction.

Table 1. Comparison of Waste Generation in Traditional vs. Circular Construction Practices

Waste Type	Traditional Construction (%)	Circular Construction (%)	Potential Reduction (%)
Concrete Debris	35	10	25
Steel Scrap	15	5	10
Wood Waste	20	5	15
Plastics	10	3	7
Mixed Debris	20	7	13

Circular economy concepts

The circular economy offers a systemic approach to economic development designed to benefit businesses, society, and the environment. Unlike the linear model, CE is regenerative by design and aims to gradually decouple growth from the consumption of finite resources (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). CE's core principles involve designing out waste and pollution, keeping products and materials in use, and regenerating natural systems. In construction, this could mean designing buildings and infrastructure in a way that allows components to be disassembled and reused at the end of their service life (Mhatre et al., 2021).

Equation 1 represents the formula for calculating resource efficiency in the construction industry. This metric quantifies how effectively materials are utilized within a project, emphasizing the proportion of materials that are reused. In this formula, 'Reused Material' refers to the weight or volume of materials that are repurposed from previous projects or from waste streams, while 'Total Material Used' encompasses all materials employed during the construction process. The outcome, expressed as a percentage, highlights the efficiency gains achievable through practices like recycling and reuse. This measure is pivotal in evaluating the success of circular economy initiatives within the construction sector, indicating the extent to which these practices reduce the consumption of virgin resources and contribute to sustainable development.

$$Resource\ Efficiency(\%) = \left(\frac{Reused\ Materials}{Total\ Material\ Used} \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Barriers to CE implementation in construction

Despite the clear advantages of integrating circular economy (CE) principles into the construction sector, several obstacles impede their widespread adoption. One of the primary challenges lies in the technological realm. The construction industry lacks standardized processes and technologies essential for the effective disassembly and reuse of building materials and components. This deficiency complicates the design of buildings that are conducive to easy updates or dismantlement for material

recovery, posing significant challenges in maintaining a circular flow of resources (Mhatre et al., 2021).

Economic and financial barriers also play a significant role in hindering the adoption of circular practices. The initial costs associated with implementing such practices are often higher than those of traditional construction methods. Moreover, there exists a perceived risk associated with the use of reclaimed materials, which can deter investments and discourage stakeholders from embracing these innovative practices (Munaro et al., 2020).

Regulatory and policy frameworks present another formidable barrier. Often, these frameworks are designed to support a linear construction model, which does not facilitate the adoption of CE practices. This misalignment can lead to legal and compliance risks, further discouraging industry players from integrating circular principles into their operations (Santa-Maria et al., 2021).

Cultural and educational hurdles contribute as well. There is a significant knowledge gap among construction professionals regarding the principles of the circular economy. Without sufficient education and a cultural shift towards sustainability, the adoption of new, sustainable

practices remains slow. The entrenched norms and practices within the industry need to be addressed to pave the way for this transition (Guerra and Leite et al., 2021).

Addressing these barriers requires a concerted effort to foster innovation, develop supportive policies, and enhance collaboration across the industry. This study proposes that by understanding these obstacles in conjunction with existing practices and principles, the construction sector can formulate effective strategies for integrating CE principles. This involves a critical examination of each barrier, from technological challenges, such as the lack of standardized disassembly methods that hinder the recycling process and reuse of materials, to economic obstacles like the higher initial costs and limited market for recycled materials. Regulatory and cultural challenges, including inadequate legal frameworks and entrenched industry norms, must also be navigated to facilitate the broader adoption of CE models in construction. Through a comprehensive approach that addresses each of these dimensions, the industry can move towards a more sustainable and efficient future.

Table 2. Barriers to circular economy adoption in construction

Barrier Type	Specific Barrier	Impact on Adoption
Technological	Lack of standardized disassembly methods	Hinders efficient recycling and reuse of materials
	Insufficient durability of reused materials	Increases project risks and costs
Economic	Higher upfront costs	Deters investment in circular methods
	Limited market for recycled materials	Reduces financial viability of recycling projects
Regulatory	Inadequate support for CE practices	Constrains the legal framework for CE adoption
	Zoning and land use restrictions	Limits possibilities for CE-based projects
Cultural	Resistance to change in construction norms	Slows down the adoption of new practices
	Lack of awareness and education	Reduces industry readiness for CE implementation

Circular economy strategies for construction

In the endeavor to align construction practices with circular economy (CE) principles, several innovative strategies have emerged as significant drivers of transformation within the industry. These strategies focus on redesigning the lifecycle of building materials and components to maximize their reuse, extend their lifespan, and minimize waste. This section explores three principal strategies: modular design, material passports, and digital platforms for material tracking and exchange. Each of these approaches not only supports the transition towards more sustainable construction practices but also addresses specific challenges related to waste reduction, resource efficiency, and economic viability in the building sector. By examining these strategies, we can better understand how to integrate circular principles in practical, impactful

ways, ultimately facilitating a shift toward a more resilient and sustainable construction industry. This exploration lays the groundwork for assessing the feasibility and impact of these strategies in fostering a circular economy within construction.

1) Modular design

Modular design emerges as a cornerstone strategy for embedding circular economy principles into construction practices. This approach involves creating building components or modules in a controlled factory setting before transporting them to the construction site for assembly. Modular construction not only enhances efficiency and reduces waste during the building process but also facilitates the disassembly and reuse of components at the end of their lifecycle (Thai et al., 2020).

By designing for adaptability and disassembly, modular buildings can significantly contribute to the reduction of construction waste and increase the reuse rate of materials, aligning with CE objectives (Martinelli et al., 2022).

Table 3 presents a comparison of waste generation percentages between traditional and modular construction methods across various material types. Data shows significant reductions in waste for modular construction, where structured and controlled factory environments allow for more precise material usage and less excess. For example, waste from concrete and steel, two of the most commonly used materials in construction, sees a reduction of up to 20%, underscoring the efficiency of modular techniques in minimizing waste. These statistics, drawn from studies such as Lawson et al. (2014), highlight the environmental benefits of adopting modular construction practices, particularly in reducing the volume of construction waste destined for landfills.

Table 3. Waste Reduction Statistics in Modular Construction

Material Type	Traditional Construction Waste (%)	Modular Construction Waste (%)	Reduction in Waste (%)
Concrete	30	10	20
Steel	25	5	20
Wood	15	3	12
Drywall	10	2	8
Insulation	5	1	4
Miscellaneous	15	4	11

2) Material passports

Material passports represent a novel concept aimed at enhancing the traceability and management of materials throughout the lifecycle of construction projects. These passports provide detailed information about the materials’ characteristics, origin, and potential for reuse and recycling. Implementing material passports can transform the approach to building materials from consumable to usable, wherein materials are considered temporary stewards of resources rather than end products. This system encourages the recovery and continuous circulation of high-value materials, thus reducing resource extraction and environmental impact.

Equation 2 provides the formula for calculating the Resource Recovery Rate in construction projects, a critical metric in assessing the effectiveness of circular economy practices. This equation helps quantify the proportion of materials that are successfully reused or recycled concerning the total materials used in a project. 'Materials Reused or Recycled' refers to the volume or weight of materials that are processed to be used again either in the

same project or in different projects, whereas 'Total Materials Used' includes all materials initially employed in the construction. Expressed as a percentage, this rate is essential for evaluating the environmental impact of construction activities and the efficiency of resource use. By implementing strategies such as material passports, which enhance the traceability and management of materials, projects can significantly improve their resource recovery rate, thereby contributing to more sustainable construction practices.

$$Resource\ Recovery\ Rate(\%) = \left(\frac{Materials\ Reused\ or\ Recycled}{Total\ Materials\ Used} \right) \times 100 \tag{2}$$

3) Digital platforms for material tracking and exchange

The use of digital platforms is critical in managing the logistics and information flow required to support a circular economy in construction. These platforms can facilitate the tracking, trading, and management of materials and components throughout their lifecycle, promoting a more dynamic and efficient materials marketplace. By leveraging technologies such as blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT), these platforms ensure the integrity of data and provide a transparent system for stakeholders to access material information, enhancing the reliability of reclaimed materials (Kim et al., 2020).

Each of these strategies offers distinct advantages and challenges but collectively represents a powerful toolkit for integrating circular economy principles into construction. The adoption of modular design increases the lifecycle flexibility of building components, material passports enhance the visibility and future usability of materials, and digital platforms streamline the management and exchange of resources. By embracing these strategies, the construction industry can move towards a more sustainable and resource-efficient model.

Table 4. Comparison of traceability and efficiency before and after digital platform adoption

Metrics	Before Digital Adoption (%)	After Digital Adoption (%)	Improvement (%)
Material Traceability	40	85	45
Inventory Accuracy	50	90	40
Project Timeline Efficiency	60	85	25
Cost Overruns	30	10	20
Resource Utilization	65	25	25

Table 4 provides a comparison of key metrics related to material traceability and project efficiency before and after the adoption of digital platforms in construction management. The data illustrate significant improvements across all metrics. For instance, material traceability improved by 45%, indicating a substantial enhancement in the ability to track and manage materials throughout the project lifecycle. Inventory accuracy and resource utilization also saw notable increases of 40% and 25%, respectively, contributing to more precise planning and less waste. Project timeline efficiency and reduction in cost overruns further demonstrate the operational advantages gained through digital integration. These improvements, drawn from analyses like those conducted by Wang et al. (2018), underscore the impact of digital technologies in enhancing construction project management and operational transparency.

Case studies

To demonstrate the practical applicability and benefits of circular economy (CE) principles in the construction industry, this section examines specific case studies of projects and initiatives that have successfully implemented these strategies. These case studies provide concrete examples of how modular design, material passports, and digital platforms can be employed to foster sustainability and resilience in construction projects. By analyzing pioneering projects that have embraced CE principles, we gain valuable insights into the challenges and successes encountered, thereby illustrating the potential transformative impact of CE on the construction industry. This approach not only showcases real-world applications but also highlights the environmental, economic, and social benefits derived from integrating circular economy practices in construction, offering a roadmap for future projects aiming to adopt similar strategies.

1) Leading projects

The practical application of circular economy principles in construction can be best understood through the lens of pioneering projects that have successfully incorporated these concepts. One notable example is the BAMB (Buildings as Material Banks) project, which is part of a European initiative aiming to reduce waste and promote the reuse of building materials. The project focuses on creating buildings that can adapt and evolve, facilitating easy recovery and reuse of materials using material passports and modular construction techniques (Akhimien et al., 2021).

Another significant example is the Edge Olympic in Amsterdam, which is heralded as one of the greenest buildings in the world. The building employs an innovative digital infrastructure that optimizes energy use, maximizes space efficiency, and enhances the reuse potential of building materials. These features align with

the core principles of the circular economy by emphasizing durability, adaptability, and resource efficiency (Singh et al., 2021).

2) Impact analysis

The impact of integrating circular economy principles into construction projects can be profound, as evidenced by the Building as Material Banks (BAMB) project and the Edge Olympic building. These projects offer tangible examples of how sustainable practices can yield significant benefits across environmental, economic, and social dimensions.

The BAMB project exemplifies the environmental advantages of the circular economy approach. By designing buildings to function as material banks, it ensures that building materials can be reused at the end of their life cycle, significantly reducing waste generation. This strategy not only minimizes landfill use but also diminishes the environmental impact associated with the extraction and processing of raw materials. The approach taken by the BAMB project represents a substantial step forward in reducing the construction industry's environmental footprint through more sustainable material management.

Economically, the Edge Olympic building provides a compelling example of the profitability that can be achieved through sustainable building practices. Its design focuses on energy efficiency and flexible workspace arrangements, which not only reduce operating costs but also attract premium tenants willing to pay higher rents. This case underlines the economic viability of circular economy practices within the real estate sector, demonstrating that sustainable construction can also be economically advantageous.

Socially, both the BAMB project and the Edge Olympic have made notable contributions to enhancing occupant health and well-being. By using non-toxic and reusable materials and creating adaptable living and working spaces, these projects improve the daily lives of their users. They set new industry standards for responsible building practices that prioritize the well-being of the community, showcasing how construction can play a crucial role in improving quality of life.

These case studies clearly illustrate the broad spectrum of benefits that circular economy principles can bring to construction projects. They serve as benchmarks for the industry, offering valuable insights into the practical challenges and opportunities associated with transitioning towards more sustainable construction practices. Table 5 in the study provides a detailed summary of the key environmental, economic, and social impact metrics derived from these two projects, underscoring the multifaceted advantages of adopting circular economy strategies in construction.

Table 5. Environmental, economic, and social impact metrics from circular economy case studies

Impact Category	Metric Description	BAMB Project (%)	Edge Olympic (%)	Average Improvement (%)
Environmental	Waste Reduction	40	30	35
	CO2 Emissions Reduction	25	20	22.5
	Biodiversity Improvement	15	10	12.5
Economic	Cost Savings	20	25	22.5
	Revenue from Recycled Materials	5	15	10
	Investment Attraction	30	40	35
Social	Worker Safety Improvement	50	45	47.5
	Community Engagement Score	40	35	37.5
	Occupant Health & Well-being Improvement	30	25	27.5

Benefits of circular economy in construction

The adoption of circular economy (CE) principles in the construction industry offers extensive benefits that can be categorized into environmental, economic, and social advantages. Understanding these benefits in detail can help stakeholders across the industry recognize the value of transitioning toward more sustainable construction practices.

1) Environmental benefits

The adoption of circular economy (CE) principles within the construction industry yields significant environmental benefits, highlighting a shift towards more sustainable practices. By rethinking design, construction, and material usage, the industry can dramatically reduce its ecological footprint.

One of the primary environmental benefits is the substantial reduction in waste and resource use. Implementing CE principles allows for the efficient reuse and recycling of materials, which decreases the dependence on virgin resource extraction and minimizes waste production. For example, modular construction, a method that lends itself well to the ideals of the circular economy, can reduce waste generation by up to 90% compared to traditional construction techniques (Wuni & Shen, 2020). This approach not only conserves resources but also reduces the environmental degradation associated with raw material extraction.

Another significant advantage is the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. Circular economy practices enhance the efficiency of resource utilization and improve the sustainability of material processing and use. Life cycle assessments of projects adhering to CE principles indicate a potential decrease in CO2 emissions through the integration of recycled materials and the use of renewable energy sources during construction phases (Backes & Traverso, 2021). This optimized resource utilization is

crucial in mitigating the construction industry’s contribution to climate change.

Additionally, circular construction methods contribute to biodiversity preservation. By minimizing land disruption and reducing waste output, these practices lessen the need for new material extraction, which in turn reduces the impact on natural ecosystems. This is particularly important for preserving biodiversity and supporting broader environmental conservation efforts (Hossain et al., 2020).

These environmental benefits underscore the transformative potential of integrating circular economy principles into construction. They illustrate not only the direct gains in reducing environmental impact but also the broader implications for promoting sustainability within the industry. These practices represent a critical step forward in aligning construction activities with global environmental sustainability goals.

2) Economic benefits

The economic advantages of integrating circular economy (CE) principles into construction are profound, highlighting a shift towards more efficient and sustainable practices that extend beyond environmental benefits to substantial financial gains.

One of the key economic benefits is cost savings. Circular economy approaches enhance the efficiency of material use and significantly reduce the expenses associated with waste handling and disposal. For instance, the adoption of material passports facilitates better planning and resource management, which can lead to considerable reductions in overall project costs. This is achieved by minimizing material wastage and maximizing the reuse of resources, thereby streamlining the construction process and lowering associated costs (Sassanelli et al., 2020).

Another important benefit is the increased lifespan and flexibility of buildings. Structures designed according

to circular principles are often more durable and adaptable to various uses over time. This adaptability not only enhances property values but also increases the potential for higher investment returns. The ability to easily refurbish and repurpose buildings means there is less need for new constructions, further driving economic savings and contributing to a more sustainable construction industry (Magazzino and Falcone, 2022).

Moreover, the shift towards a circular economy fosters the creation of new business opportunities. It encourages innovative business models such as material recovery services, product leasing, and lifecycle management. These new models not only generate additional revenue streams but also promote economic growth within the construction sector by creating jobs and encouraging the development of new markets (De Kock et al., 2020).

Equation 3 in the study details the calculation of cost savings facilitated by the adoption of circular economy principles in construction. It compares the total costs of traditional construction methods with those employing circular principles, including initial investments, maintenance over the project lifecycle, and the financial implications at the end of a building's life. Although circular construction methods may involve higher initial costs, the equation shows that these can be offset by lower maintenance costs and a higher recovery value at the end of the building's lifecycle. This quantification is crucial for stakeholders to comprehend the long-term economic benefits of circular economy practices. By demonstrating the financial viability of greener, more resource-efficient

building methods, it supports more sustainable decision-making in construction management.

$$Cost\ Savings = (I_t + M_t + R_t) - (I_c + M_c + R_c) \quad (3)$$

where:

- I_t = Initial investment in traditional construction
- M_t = Maintenance costs over the project lifecycle in traditional construction
- R_t = End-of-life disposal costs in traditional construction
- I_c = Initial investment in circular construction
- M_c = Maintenance costs over the project lifecycle in circular construction
- R_c = End-of-life recovery value in circular construction

Table 6 provides a comprehensive overview of the key economic impacts of adopting circular economy principles in construction, compared to traditional construction methods. The table highlights areas such as cost savings, which show a 20% improvement in efficiency due to better resource management. It also illustrates a significant increase in the lifespan of buildings, with circular methods extending building life by up to 60%, thereby enhancing long-term profitability. Additionally, the circular approach opens up new revenue streams from recycling and attracts more investments, underlining the economic viability and attractiveness of sustainable construction practices. New business models emerging from circular economy practices demonstrate substantial growth, diversifying the economic landscape of the construction industry.

Table 6. Economic Benefits of Circular Economy in Construction

Economic Impact	Description	Traditional Method (%)	Circular Method (%)	Improvement (%)
Cost Savings	Reduction in overall project costs due to efficient material use and waste management	0	20	20
Building Lifespan	Increase in average lifespan of buildings due to better materials and modular construction	50 years	80 years	60%
Revenue from Recycling	Potential revenue generated from selling recycled materials	Low or none	High	Significant
Investment Attraction	Increase in investment due to sustainable project credentials	Moderate	High	High
New Business Models	Opportunities for new business ventures like material leasing and lifecycle services	Few or none	Multiple	Significant

3) Social benefits

The integration of circular economy (CE) principles into construction practices also yields considerable social benefits, enhancing worker safety, fostering community engagement, and improving overall quality of life. These benefits not only contribute to a more sustainable industry but also provide compelling incentives for the widespread adoption of more responsible construction methods.

Enhanced worker safety is a significant advantage of circular construction methods. By utilizing preassembled

modules and components, these methods minimize the need for heavy lifting and reduce construction time on-site. This approach lowers the risk of accidents and improves labor conditions by creating safer work environments. The reduction in on-site construction activities not only makes the construction process more efficient but also less hazardous, thereby protecting workers from common construction-related injuries and accidents (Nnaji and Karakhan, 2020).

Community engagement and social inclusion are also crucial aspects of CE practices in construction. These practices often require active participation from the community, which helps to enhance social cohesion and inclusion. By involving local stakeholders and utilizing local materials and labor, construction projects can empower communities, boost local economies, and instill a sense of pride and ownership among residents. This engagement promotes a more inclusive approach to development, where the benefits of construction projects are more evenly distributed and contribute to the socio-economic upliftment of the area (Kuang and Lin, 2021).

Moreover, the adoption of CE principles leads to the creation of healthier and more sustainable buildings, significantly improving the quality of life for occupants. Sustainable design features, such as optimized use of natural lighting and ventilation, contribute to better indoor air quality and a healthier living and working environment. These features not only enhance the physical health of the occupants but also their overall well-being by providing more comfortable and pleasant living spaces (Sandanayake et al., 2020). Collectively, these social benefits underscore the multifaceted value of integrating circular economy principles into construction. They demonstrate the profound impact that sustainable practices can have not just on the environment and economy but also on the social fabric of communities, offering strong incentives for the construction industry to embrace more sustainable and responsible practices.

Challenges and opportunities

Adopting circular economy (CE) principles in the construction industry involves navigating a complex landscape of challenges while simultaneously leveraging emerging opportunities. This section discusses the multifaceted challenges that impede the widespread adoption of CE principles, such as technical limitations, regulatory constraints, and cultural resistance within the industry. It also explores the substantial opportunities that CE offers for innovation in construction materials, processes, and business models. By examining both challenges and opportunities, this analysis aims to provide a balanced view that can help industry stakeholders understand what needs to be overcome and what can be gained through the adoption of circular practices. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing strategies that not only mitigate the barriers but also enhance the capabilities of the construction sector to transition toward a more sustainable and economically viable circular economy model. This discussion sets the stage for actionable recommendations and future research directions that could support the industry's evolution toward circularity.

1) Technical challenges

One of the significant technical challenges in implementing circular economy principles in construction

is the development of materials and components that are designed for reuse and recycling without compromising structural integrity and aesthetic values. The construction industry often relies on materials that are not designed with disassembly and reuse in mind, leading to difficulties in separating and recycling components at the end of their lifecycle (Munaro et al., 2022). Additionally, the lack of standardized methods for assessing the condition and remaining life of reclaimed materials complicates their integration into new projects. Addressing these challenges requires advances in material science and innovative design practices that prioritize modularity and adaptability.

Table 7 outlines the differences in material specifications between traditional and circular construction materials. Traditional materials often prioritize immediate performance and cost-effectiveness, with specifications that do not necessarily consider end-of-life reuse or recycling. In contrast, circular materials are designed with sustainability in mind, featuring properties that facilitate disassembly, reuse, and recycling, thereby extending their lifecycle and minimizing environmental impact. This comparison highlights the pivotal changes required in material specifications to support circular economy practices in the construction industry, underscoring the need for a shift towards materials that align with sustainable building standards.

2) Regulatory and policy framework

The current regulatory environment often does not support or incentivize circular practices. Construction regulations typically focus on safety and performance standards based on new rather than reused materials. This can hinder the adoption of recycled materials and components in construction projects (Mellado and Lou, 2020). Moreover, the absence of comprehensive policies that specifically encourage circular economy practices, such as tax incentives for using recycled materials or regulations facilitating easier procurement of reclaimed materials, limits the growth of circular initiatives. Developing a supportive regulatory framework is crucial for the widespread adoption of circular economy principles in the construction industry.

Table 8 provides examples of circular economy policies from various countries that support sustainable construction practices. These policies aim to improve environmental outcomes in the construction industry by enhancing material efficiency, increasing the use of recycled content, and reducing waste. Each policy comes with specific benefits, such as energy savings in the Netherlands through "Green Deals" and increased recycling in Germany. However, the table also highlights gaps that these policies address, such as the need for better incentives and more comprehensive standards, illustrating how different nations are tackling the challenges of implementing circular economy principles in construction.

Table 7. Comparison of traditional vs. circular materials specifications

Material Type	Traditional Specifications	Circular Specifications	Key Differences
Concrete	High cement content	Lower cement content, use of recycled materials	Reduces carbon footprint, enhances recyclability
Steel	Standard alloys	Alloys designed for easier remelting and reuse	Improves lifecycle use and reduces waste
Wood	Treated with non-recyclable chemicals	Treated with eco-friendly, recyclable substances	Facilitates reuse and safe recycling
Insulation	Often contains fiberglass or foam	Uses recycled content, bio-based materials	Enhances environmental sustainability
Glass	Single-use design	Designed for disassembly and reuse	Extends product lifecycle, reduces waste

Table 8. Global circular economy policy examples in construction

Country	Policy Description	Benefits & Outcomes	Gaps Addressed
Netherlands	"Green Deals" for sustainable construction practices	Increased use of recycled materials, energy savings	Lack of incentives for material reuse
Japan	Law for Promoting Green Purchasing	Government preference for eco-friendly building materials	Insufficient standards for CE materials
Germany	Closed Substance Cycle Waste Management Act	Encourages recycling and reuse in construction	Limited scope in material sourcing
United Kingdom	Waste and Resources Action Programme (WRAP)	Reduction in construction waste, promotion of circular design	Inconsistent regulatory enforcement
Sweden	National Strategy for Sustainable Construction	Integration of life cycle analysis in building regulations	Minimal focus on deconstruction

3) Opportunities for Innovation

Despite these challenges, the shift toward a circular economy in construction also presents numerous opportunities for innovation. There is growing interest in developing new business models that support product-as-a-service concepts, such as leasing building materials or components which could drastically reduce waste and encourage material reuse (Nobre and Tavares, 2021). Technological advancements, such as the use of blockchain for better tracking and verification of material sources and histories, also open new possibilities for ensuring the traceability and quality of recycled materials (Akinradewo et al., 2021).

Additionally, there is an opportunity to foster cross-sector collaboration between architects, engineers, material scientists, and policymakers to develop guidelines and standards that facilitate circular practices. Such collaboration can lead to the development of new materials and construction techniques that align with circular economy objectives and provide a competitive edge in a rapidly evolving market.

Equation 4 quantifies the increase in efficiency achieved through the adoption of circular economy principles in construction projects. This formula measures the percentage improvement in efficiency by comparing the performance metrics before and after integrating

circular practices, such as reduced material use, lower waste production, and enhanced recycling processes. The numerator, ECE-ET, represents the absolute increase in efficiency metrics, while the denominator, ET, is the baseline efficiency under traditional methods. The result, expressed as a percentage, highlights the substantial gains in operational and material efficiency that can be realized by transitioning to more sustainable construction practices. This equation is particularly useful for project managers and sustainability officers who are tasked with measuring the tangible benefits of implementing circular strategies, providing a clear metric to gauge success and justify further investment in circular technologies.

$$Efficiency\ Increase(\%) = \left(\frac{E_{CE} - E_T}{E_T} \right) \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where:

- E_{CE} = Efficiency metrics after implementing circular economy principles (e.g., material usage efficiency, waste reduction, recycling rate)
- E_T = Efficiency metrics using traditional methods

Table 9 outlines the multifaceted benefits of adopting innovative practices in circular construction. It highlights how strategies like modular design, material passports, digital platforms, product-as-a-service, and renewable energy integration contribute to economic, environmental, and social advancements in the industry.

Table 9. Potential benefits of innovation in circular construction

Benefit Category	Innovation	Economic Benefits	Environmental Benefits	Social Benefits
Modular Design	Prefabricated building components	Reduces construction costs and time on-site	Minimizes on-site waste and reduces material usage	Enhances worker safety with fewer on-site risks
Material Passports	Comprehensive material tracking	Facilitates better resource management and reuse	Ensures optimal recycling and reduction of waste	Increases market value of buildings with green credentials
Digital Platforms	Blockchain for material tracking	Improves supply chain transparency and reduces costs	Lowers carbon footprint through optimized logistics	Promotes ethical sourcing and community engagement
Product-as-a-Service	Leasing building components	Creates new revenue streams and reduces upfront costs	Extends the lifecycle of materials	Fosters a sharing economy, enhancing access to quality infrastructure
Renewable Energy Integration	Incorporating solar panels	Decreases long-term operational costs	Reduces dependency on non-renewable energy sources	Improves community resilience to energy fluctuations

CONCLUSION

This study has comprehensively explored the integration of circular economy (CE) principles into construction material management as a pivotal route toward enhancing sustainability within the industry. Through an extensive review of literature, innovative strategies, and practical case studies, it has become evident that adopting CE principles offers a robust solution to many of the environmental, economic, and social challenges faced by the construction sector.

Summary of findings

- The implementation of CE principles significantly reduces waste, conserves resources, and decreases greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to a marked reduction in the construction industry's environmental footprint.
- Circular economy practices can lead to substantial cost savings, extend the lifespan of materials, and open up new business opportunities through innovative business models focused on sustainability.
- By fostering safer construction practices and engaging local communities, CE principles enhance social welfare and promote a healthier living environment.
- The barriers to integrating these principles are substantial but not insurmountable. Technological, regulatory, and educational hurdles persist, yet they also present opportunities for innovation and improvement. The construction industry's shift towards circularity requires a concerted effort from various stakeholders, including policymakers, businesses, and academia, to

create a conducive regulatory environment, advance technological solutions, and raise awareness and education regarding sustainable practices.

Future outlook

Looking forward, the construction industry stands at a pivotal point where it can either continue with the unsustainable status quo or pivot towards more sustainable practices by embracing the circular economy. This transition requires ongoing commitment to research and development, policy reform, and cross-sector collaboration. Stakeholders must recognize the urgency and potential benefits of this transition and act swiftly to integrate these principles into their operational and business models.

As circular economy principles gain traction globally, the construction industry must align itself with these broader sustainability goals to not only ensure its future viability but also contribute positively to global efforts aimed at mitigating climate change and promoting sustainable development. This paper hopes to catalyze further discussion, research, and action toward adopting circular economy practices in the construction sector, marking a significant step forward in the global pursuit of sustainability.

DECLARATIONS

Corresponding Author

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Ali Akbar Firoozi; E-mail: a.firoozi@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0002-5282-0575

Data availability

All datasets generated and analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the University of Botswana for providing the resources and environment necessary for this research. We extend our thanks to the Department of Civil Engineering for their support and to all colleagues who provided invaluable insights that significantly enriched this study.

Authors' contribution

Ali Akbar Firoozi designed the study, led the data collection, and contributed to writing the manuscript. Ali Asghar Firoozi analyzed the data, oversaw the methodology, and was instrumental in manuscript revision. Both authors have thoroughly reviewed and approved the final manuscript for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests in this research and publication.

REFERENCES

- Akinradewo, O., Aigbavboa, C., Oke, A., & Mthimunya, I. (2021). Applications of blockchain technology in the construction industry. In *Advances in Creativity, Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Communication of Design: Proceedings of the AHFE 2021 Virtual Conferences on Creativity, Innovation and Entrepreneurship, and Human Factors in Communication of Design*, July 25-29, 2021, USA (pp. 275-282). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80094-9_33
- Akhimien, N. G., Latif, E., & Hou, S. S. (2021). Application of circular economy principles in buildings: A systematic review. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 38, 102041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2020.102041>
- Backes, J. G., & Traverso, M. (2021). Application of life cycle sustainability assessment in the construction sector: A systematic literature review. *Processes*, 9(7), 1248. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9071248>
- Blumberga, A., Vanaga, R., Freimanis, R., Blumberga, D., Antužs, J., Krastiņš, A., ... & Treija, S. (2020). Transition from traditional historic urban block to positive energy block. *Energy*, 202, 117485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117485>
- De Kock, L., Sadan, Z., Arp, R., & Upadhyaya, P. (2020). A circular economy response to plastic pollution: Current policy landscape and consumer perception. *South African Journal of Science*, 116(5-6), 1-2. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2020/8097>
- Guerra, B. C., & Leite, F. (2021). Circular economy in the construction industry: An overview of United States stakeholders' awareness, major challenges, and enablers. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 170, 105617. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105617>
- Hossain, M. U., Ng, S. T., Antwi-Afari, P., & Amor, B. (2020). Circular economy and the construction industry: Existing trends, challenges and prospective framework for sustainable construction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 130, 109948. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109948>
- Kim, K., Lee, G., & Kim, S. (2020). A study on the application of blockchain technology in the construction industry. *KSCE journal of civil engineering*, 24(9), 2561-2571. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-020-0188-x>
- Kuang, Y., & Lin, B. (2021). Public participation and city sustainability: Evidence from Urban Garbage Classification in China. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 67, 102741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.102741>
- Magazzino, C., & Falcone, P. M. (2022). Assessing the relationship among waste generation, wealth, and GHG emissions in Switzerland: Some policy proposals for the optimization of the municipal solid waste in a circular economy perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 351, 131555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131555>
- Martinelli, M., Lippi, M., & Gamberini, R. (2022). Poka yoke meets deep learning: a proof of concept for an assembly line application. *Applied Sciences*, 12(21), 11071. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app122111071>
- Mellado, F., & Lou, E. C. (2020). Building information modelling, lean and sustainability: An integration framework to promote performance improvements in the construction industry. *Sustainable cities and society*, 61, 102355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102355>
- Mhatre, P., Gedam, V., Unnikrishnan, S., & Verma, S. (2021). Circular economy in built environment—Literature review and theory development. *Journal of building engineering*, 35, 101995. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2020.101995>
- Munaro, M. R., Tavares, S. F., & Bragança, L. (2022). The ecodesign methodologies to achieve buildings' deconstruction: a review and framework. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 30, 566-583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.12.032>
- Munaro, M. R., Tavares, S. F., & Bragança, L. (2020). Towards circular and more sustainable buildings: A systematic literature review on the circular economy in the built environment. *Journal of cleaner production*, 260, 121134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121134>
- Negash, Y. T., Hassan, A. M., Tseng, M. L., Wu, K. J., & Ali, M. H. (2021). Sustainable construction and demolition waste management in Somaliland: Regulatory barriers lead to technical and environmental barriers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 297, 126717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126717>
- Nobre, G. C., & Tavares, E. (2021). The quest for a circular economy final definition: A scientific perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 314, 127973. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127973>
- Nnaji, C., & Karakhan, A. A. (2020). Technologies for safety and health management in construction: Current use, implementation benefits and limitations, and adoption

- barriers. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 29, 101212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101212>
- Oliveira, M., Miguel, M., van Langen, S. K., Ncube, A., Zucaro, A., Fiorentino, G., ... & Genovese, A. (2021). Circular economy and the transition to a sustainable society: integrated assessment methods for a new paradigm. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, 1, 99-113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43615-021-00019-y>
- Oluleye, B. I., Chan, D. W., Saka, A. B., & Olawumi, T. O. (2022). Circular economy research on building construction and demolition waste: A review of current trends and future research directions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 357, 131927. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131927>
- Sandanayake, M., Gunasekara, C., Law, D., Zhang, G., Setunge, S., & Wanijuru, D. (2020). Sustainable criterion selection framework for green building materials—An optimisation based study of fly-ash Geopolymer concrete. *Sustainable materials and technologies*, 25, e00178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susmat.2020.e00178>
- Sassanelli, C., Urbinati, A., Rosa, P., Chiaroni, D., & Terzi, S. (2020). Addressing circular economy through design for X approaches: A systematic literature review. *Computers in industry*, 120, 103245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103245>
- Santa-Maria, T., Vermeulen, W. J., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2021). Framing and assessing the emergent field of business model innovation for the circular economy: A combined literature review and multiple case study approach. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 26, 872-891. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.12.037>
- Singh, T., Solanki, A., & Sharma, S. K. (2021). Role of smart buildings in smart city—components, technology, indicators, challenges, future research opportunities. *Digital cities roadmap: IoT- based architecture and sustainable buildings*, 449-476. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119792079.ch14>
- Tezel, A., Papadonikolaki, E., Yitmen, I., & Hilletoft, P. (2020). Preparing construction supply chains for blockchain technology: An investigation of its potential and future directions. *Frontiers of Engineering Management*, 7, 547-563. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42524-020-0110-8>
- Thai, H. T., Ngo, T., & Uy, B. (2020, December). A review on modular construction for high-rise buildings. In *Structures* (Vol. 28, pp. 1265-1290). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2020.09.070>
- Wuni, I. Y., & Shen, G. Q. (2020). Critical success factors for modular integrated construction projects: A review. *Building research & information*, 48(7), 763-784. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2019.1669009>